

Biointerfaces in sensors and medical devices: challenges, materials, and solutions for biological integration

Biointerfaces en sensores y dispositivos médicos: retos, materiales y soluciones para la integración biológica

Inwang Edet Usoro¹, Robert O. Akhigbe¹, Michael Promise Ogolodom² , Abdullahi Shuaibu³, Emmanuel Emeka Ezugwu⁴, Olunwayemisi Titi Oyegbata¹, Misael Ron⁵, Oluwafemi Oluide Egbeyemi⁶

¹Department of Medical Radiography and Radiation Sciences, College of Medicine, Lead City University, Ibadan, Nigeria.

²Department of Radiography, Faculty of Basic Medical Sciences, Rivers State University, Port Harcourt, Nigeria.

³Department of Medical Radiography, College of Medical Sciences, Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

⁴Department of Radiography and Radiological Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences and Technology, Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nnewi Campus, Anambra State, Nigeria.

⁵Industrial Engineer, Specialist in Occupational Health and Hygiene of the work Environment, Specialist in Strategic Management, Doctoral Candidate in Public Health. University of Carabobo, Venezuela.

⁶City Gate Health Diagnostic Services, Ogun State, Nigeria

Cite as

Edet Usoro I, Akhigbe RO, Promise Ogolodom M, Shuaibu A, Emeka Ezugwu E, Titi Oyegbata O, et al. Biointerfaces in sensors and medical devices: challenges, materials, and solutions for biological integration. SAP Biomedical & Chemical Engineering Innovation. 2025; 4:256. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2025256>

Publication History

Submitted: 20-10-2024

Revised: 12-02-2025

Accepted: 22-07-2025

Published: 23-07-2025

Corresponding Author

Michael Promise Ogolodom 

ABSTRACT

Biointerfaces are strategic components in the design of medical sensors and devices, enabling functional interaction between electronic systems and biological tissues. This article examines their properties, materials, and clinical applications, with a focus on biocompatibility, cellular adhesion, electrical conductivity, and structural stability. Current approaches based on conductive hydrogels, nanocomposites with metal oxides, and intelligent coatings are reviewed, as well as their implications in implantable, wearable, microfluidic, and neural interface technologies. The study also addresses critical challenges such as miniaturization, immune response, and the integration of dynamic, stimulus-activated functions. It concludes that biointerfaces represent a key pathway toward the development of more precise, adaptive, and sustainable medical technologies, whose advancement will depend on interdisciplinary convergence among biomedical engineering, materials science, and emerging clinical needs.

Keywords: Biointerfaces; Medical Devices; Biocompatibility; Implantable Sensors.

RESUMEN

Las biointerfaces constituyen un componente estratégico en el diseño de sensores y dispositivos médicos, al permitir la interacción funcional entre sistemas electrónicos y tejidos biológicos. Este artículo analiza las propiedades, materiales y aplicaciones clínicas de estas superficies, con énfasis en la biocompatibilidad, la adhesión celular, la conductividad eléctrica y la estabilidad estructural. Se revisan enfoques actuales basados en hidrogeles conductores, nanocompuestos con óxidos metálicos y recubrimientos inteligentes, así como sus implicaciones en tecnologías implantables, portátiles, microfluídicas y neurointerfaces. También se abordan desafíos críticos como la miniaturización, la respuesta inmunológica y la integración de funciones dinámicas activadas por estímulos. El estudio concluye que las biointerfaces representan una vía clave para el desarrollo de tecnologías médicas más precisas, adaptativas y sostenibles, cuyo avance dependerá de la convergencia interdisciplinaria entre la ingeniería biomédica, la ciencia de materiales y las necesidades clínicas emergentes.

Palabras clave: Biointerfaces; Dispositivos Médicos; Biocompatibilidad; Sensores Implantables.

INTRODUCCIÓN

Biointerfaces are a fundamental component in the functional architecture of biosensors, as they represent the area of direct contact between the device and the biological environment with which it interacts. They act as mediators of molecular interactions that enable the specific recognition of biomolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, enzymes, or cells, hence their relevance in detection and diagnostic processes.⁽¹⁾

From a technical point of view, the physical and chemical properties of the biointerface have a decisive influence on key performance parameters, including selectivity, sensitivity, detection range, and signal stability over time. Therefore, the detailed study and rational design of this interface are considered strategic aspects in the design and development of new-generation biosensors, which are aimed at high-precision clinical and diagnostic applications, thus responding to the current demands of biomedicine.⁽¹⁾

The functional optimization of a biointerface requires precise control over the nature and specificity of the interactions that take place on its surface, which is crucial to ensuring the overall performance of the system. To this end, advanced materials are used, particularly surfaces functionalized with compounds such as gold, which are capable of facilitating selective immobilization of target molecules without compromising the structural integrity of the device. This approach not only improves the efficiency of biochemical recognition, but also minimizes external interference, reduces background noise, and significantly increases the signal-to-noise ratio. In this way, the biointerface is no longer considered a simple passive layer but is conceived as an active component of the sensor, essential for ensuring the fidelity and accuracy of the analytical response to complex biological stimuli.^(1,2)

In implantable biomedical applications, the biointerface plays an even more critical role, as it must maintain constant, biocompatible interaction with the internal tissues of the recipient organism. After insertion, this surface not only ensures the physical integration of the sensor with the immediate biological environment, but also helps prevent adverse responses such as inflammation, fibrosis, or immune rejection, which could compromise the functionality of the implant. In this sense, the quality of the biointerface directly determines both the acceptance of the implant and the operational durability of the device, aspects that have a significant impact on therapeutic efficacy and the stability of long-term clinical monitoring. Designing biointerfaces that guarantee this structural and functional compatibility is therefore an essential requirement for the design and development of medical technologies that are safe and effective for patients.^(1,3)

In the context of recent advances in biological interaction technologies, biointerfaces have become particularly important in the design of portable and implantable devices, particularly those linked to human-machine integration platforms. In this context, hydrogels are emerging as materials of choice due to their remarkable ability to establish a soft, adaptable, and electroconductive bond between living tissues and integrated electronic systems. Thanks to their multifunctional structure, these materials not only enable accurate and continuous transmission of physiological signals, but also help reduce the risk of local irritation and prolong the useful life of the implanted or portable device. Their incorporation into advanced biomedical sensors responds to the need to develop dynamic and responsive systems capable of maintaining sustained functional performance in complex and variable biological environments.^(4,5,6)

Consequently, it is essential to further analyze biointerfaces as strategic components for the design and operation of state-of-the-art medical sensors and devices, as their direct influence affects the efficiency of biological recognition, the operational stability of systems, and biocompatibility with the physiological environment.^(7,8) The progressive integration of these interfaces into wearable and implantable platforms and real-time continuous monitoring systems requires a critical and ongoing review of the material, functional, and technological approaches that support their development. Understanding the operational logic, coupling challenges, and innovation prospects associated with biointerfaces strengthens the relationship between biomedical engineering and life sciences, while helping to chart new paths for the creation of more accurate, safer, and more adaptable healthcare solutions for the human body.⁽⁹⁾ Therefore, this article aims to examine current approaches and the main applications of biointerfaces in medical sensors and devices, emphasizing recent advances, the selection of materials with high biological compatibility, and the functional challenges faced by these systems in clinical, wearable, and implantable contexts.

METHOD

This work is based on a literature review aimed at systematizing current approaches, materials used, and functional challenges related to the design of biointerfaces in sensors and medical devices. To this end, a structured search strategy was carried out between March and June 2025, using as main sources the academic databases Scopus, PubMed, ScienceDirect, MDPI, ACS Publications, SpringerLink, as well as the meta-search engine Google Scholar, due to its wide coverage of indexed and open-access scientific literature.

The searches were carried out using combinations of keywords in English such as biointerfaces, implantable sensors, biocompatibility, conductive hydrogels, smart coatings, neural interfaces, and medical devices. Filters were applied by year of publication (2021–2025) and by document type (peer-reviewed articles with experimental results or technical analysis). A total of 48 scientific articles were selected, which were organized thematically and analyzed based on their contribution to the study of properties, clinical applications, and technological solutions in the field of biointerfaces. This process allowed us to identify common patterns, evaluate emerging trends, and precisely define the main lines of development in this area of biomedical research.

DEVELOPMENT

Among the materials used in the manufacture of biointerfaces for sensors and medical devices, conductive hydrogels stand out for their remarkable ability to combine electrical properties with a high level of biocompatibility. These three-dimensional gels exhibit an internal architecture that not only promotes efficient electrical signal conduction but also accurately reproduces the

physical, chemical, and biological characteristics of native tissue. This mimetic ability enable to act as conducive environments for cell growth by providing structural stability and environmental conditions suitable for sustained interaction with complex biological systems.^(10,11)

Furthermore, their porous structure makes them highly effective substrates for the controlled release of bioactive molecules, significantly expanding their applicability in both therapeutic and diagnostic contexts. The possibility of customizing their properties according to the specific requirements of each biomedical application reinforces their role as strategic components within biointerfaces, enabling precise and sustained integration between the sensor and the surrounding physiological environment.^(10,12,13)

Another particularly relevant approach in biointerface engineering is the use of nanocomposites that integrate conductive polymers with metal oxides, materials that exhibit enhanced electronic properties and high surface reactivity. In this regard, the study conducted by Badry R et al.⁽¹⁴⁾ demonstrates that the incorporation of oxides such as magnesium oxide (MgO) and manganese II oxide (MnO) into the polyaniline structure significantly increases key parameters such as total dipole moment, band gap, and various molecular reactivity descriptors.^(14,15,16)

These improvements translate into a greater ability of the material to transport charge in a stable manner, which is particularly advantageous in contexts where a precise and sustained signal is required, such as in implantable medical sensors. Furthermore, the high correlation observed between the experimental results and the spectroscopic calculations reinforces the reliability of the electronic behavior of these nanocomposites, consolidating their potential as functional elements in advanced biomedical systems.⁽¹⁷⁾ Thus, the functionalization of conductive polymers with metal oxides not only significantly increases the electrochemical performance at the interface, but also favors a more harmonious integration with complex biological systems, which substantially expands their applicability in the development of biointerfaces with high sensitivity and prolonged durability.^(14,18,19)

In the field of implantable sensors, biointerfaces have undergone a sustained evolution towards solutions that are progressively softer, more flexible, and more adaptable to the physiological environment, in response to the urgent need to reduce immune response and ensure stable and prolonged interaction with tissue. In this scenario, hydrogel-based nanocomposites are particularly relevant, given their high water content, intrinsic biocompatibility, and remarkable ability to replicate the texture and elasticity of living tissue. These materials, resulting from the integration of elastomeric matrices and functional nanocarriers, enable intimate and sustained contact between bioelectrodes and organic structures, thus facilitating the capture of high-resolution physiological data in real time. Added to this is their potential for functionalization, which enables a versatile platform for the design of implantable bioelectronic systems with adaptive properties, an essential condition for the prolonged monitoring of biological functions without inducing structural damage or altering the homeostatic balance of the tissue environment.^(20,21)

At the same time, portable sensors and microfluidic devices have gradually established their presence in both the clinical and personal wellness sectors, thanks to their non-invasive nature and ability to provide real-time physiological monitoring. These technologies are integrated into a variety of formats ranging from smart accessories and functional garments to highly sensitive body patches, all designed with the aim of maintaining continuous contact with the skin surface without compromising user comfort at any time.^(22,23)

In this context, biointerfaces play a fundamental role in ensuring stable transmission of bioelectrical signals while flexibly adapting to body movements, thus preserving the functional integrity of the system. At the same time, microfluidic devices integrate micrometer-scale channels capable of manipulating minute volumes of biological fluids, enabling rapid and accurate analysis on compact platforms. This convergence of portability, high sensitivity, and compatibility with biological surfaces makes biointerfaces essential components for ensuring the functional efficiency of such systems.^(22,23,24)

One of the most significant functional aspects in the design of biointerfaces lies in their ability to promote efficient cell adhesion, an essential condition for ensuring the effective integration of the sensor with biological tissues. In this regard, Nguyen et al.⁽²⁵⁾ show that the quality of the contact established between the device surface and the cells directly affects essential physiological processes such as differentiation, migration, cell cycle, and survival. Although reproducing the biochemical conditions of the extracellular matrix remains a considerable technical challenge, it is a key requirement for the development of a biologically active, functional, and durable interface.^(25,26)

Biointerfacial materials that enable this type of interaction not only promote cell communication but also contribute to the functional durability of the sensor once implanted. Cell adhesion thus ceases to be a secondary phenomenon and becomes a key structural parameter for the success of biomedical applications based on sensors and soft electronic tissues.^(25,27,28)

In addition to facilitating biological adhesion, biointerfaces must fulfill other critical functions, such as biocompatibility, electrical conductivity, and molecular detection capability. A representative example is polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), widely used in implantable sensors and BioMEMS devices due to its low cytotoxicity, optical transparency, and adaptability to various structural configurations. When surface-modified, this material significantly improves its interaction with cells, allowing stable contact without inducing inflammatory responses. PDMS can also be combined with various conductive materials, including metal nanoparticles, carbon-based nanomaterials, and polymers with electrical properties, expanding its functionalities without compromising its mechanical flexibility. This remarkable versatility positions it as an ideal component for biointerfaces that simultaneously require biological compatibility, effective structural integration, and efficient transmission of electrical signals.^(29,30)

In the context of continuous glucose monitoring, biointerfaces play a fundamental role by enabling efficient and stable interaction between the sensor and the patient's skin or subcutaneous environment. Currently, both transcutaneous sensors and long-lasting implantable versions are used, capable of transmitting real-time readings to mobile platforms. In both modalities, the quality of the biointerface is a key determinant of signal accuracy, sustained device adherence, and patient tolerance to prolonged use. However, these systems still face significant challenges related to component miniaturization, variability in measurement accuracy, time delay

in detection, and the onset of skin reactions, especially in individuals with hypersensitivity to adhesives. Such limitations highlight the urgent need to develop more stable and biocompatible interfaces capable of maintaining prolonged functional contact without compromising tissue integrity or affecting user comfort.^(31,32)

According to Yildiz⁽³³⁾, retinal prostheses made from aluminum antimony (AlSb) and lead sulfide (PbS) quantum dots, despite their efficiency in conducting electrical charge, cause reactive gliosis and structural damage in the implanted subretinal region. These adverse effects highlight the complexity of achieving functional integration without triggering inflammatory or degenerative processes in highly sensitive tissues such as the retina. Hence, one of the central challenges in this type of application is to achieve an adequate balance between miniaturization, stimulation capacity, and immunological compatibility, which requires further developments in materials and interface strategies that prioritize long-term tissue safety.^(33,34,35)

In the recent development of biointerfaces for medical devices, near-infrared active coatings have emerged as a multifunctional solution with high clinical potential. These coatings allow the incorporation of advanced functions such as non-invasive monitoring, controlled drug release, and infection prevention through the disintegration of biofilms, all without compromising the biocompatibility of the device. Their ability to be remotely activated by light stimuli makes these surfaces active interfaces, capable of modifying their behavior in real time according to the physiological needs of the environment.^(36,37) Similarly, it has been demonstrated that the combination of properties such as antimicrobial activity, wear resistance, and surface functionalization can be integrated into a single manufacturing step, thus optimizing process efficiency and reducing the complexity of clinical assembly. These advances position NIR coatings as key elements for the next generation of adaptive and dynamic biointerfaces in the medical field.^(38,39,40,41)

On the other hand, smart coatings represent a class of functional interfaces capable of actively responding to environmental stimuli, significantly expanding their applicability in biomedical devices. Designed to detect specific conditions such as humidity, bacterial presence, or structural damage, these coatings adjust their properties in real time, improving both the durability of the device and its clinical performance. In particular, certain smart polymers have been designed to automatically release disinfecting agents upon detecting increases in humidity, generating a localized bactericidal response that contributes to infection control without external intervention. This adaptive functionality not only increases patient safety but also facilitates the creation of active surfaces with self-regulating capabilities, which is especially valuable in clinical settings where autonomous and effective solutions are required to minimize postoperative complications.⁽⁴²⁾

In the recent development of biointerfaces for medical devices, near-infrared (NIR) active coatings have emerged as a multifunctional solution with high clinical potential. These coatings allow the incorporation of advanced functions such as non-invasive monitoring, controlled drug release, and infection prevention through biofilm disintegration, all without compromising the biocompatibility of the device. Their ability to be remotely activated by light stimuli makes these surfaces active interfaces, capable of modifying their behavior in real time according to the physiological needs of the environment. Similarly, it has been demonstrated that the combination of properties such as antimicrobial activity, wear resistance, and surface functionalization can be integrated into a single manufacturing step, thus optimizing process efficiency and reducing the complexity of clinical assembly. These advances position NIR coatings as key elements for the next generation of adaptive and dynamic biointerfaces in the medical field.^(43,44,45)

On the other hand, smart coatings represent a class of functional interfaces capable of actively responding to environmental stimuli, significantly expanding their applicability in biomedical devices. Designed to detect specific conditions such as humidity, bacterial presence, or structural damage, these coatings adjust their properties in real time, improving both the durability of the device and its clinical performance. In particular, certain smart polymers have been designed to automatically release disinfecting agents upon detecting increases in humidity, generating a localized bactericidal response that contributes to infection control without external intervention. This adaptive functionality not only increases patient safety but also facilitates the creation of active surfaces with self-regulating capabilities, which is especially valuable in clinical settings where autonomous and effective solutions are required to minimize post-operative complications.^(46,47,48)

CONCLUSIONS

This article has described the main properties, clinical applications, and technological challenges associated with the development of biointerfaces for medical sensors and devices, addressing their role in the functional integration between electronic systems and biological tissues. Biointerfaces are emerging as key elements for ensuring biocompatibility, cell adhesion, electrical stability, and accuracy in physiological monitoring, both in implantable and portable platforms. Their effectiveness will depend not only on advances in smart materials and active structures, but also on their ability to adapt to dynamic en , minimize immune responses, and promote prolonged interaction without loss of functionality. Ultimately, the future of these technologies will require interdisciplinary approaches that integrate engineering, biology, and clinical design, aimed at creating safer, more personalized, and sustainable medical solutions.

FUNDING

None.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

None.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

Conceptualization: Inwang Edet Usoro, Robert O. Akhigbe, Michael Promise Ogolodom, Abdullahi Shuaibu, Emmanuel Emeka

Ezugwu, Olunwayemisi Titi Oyegbata, Misael Ron, Oluwafemi Olumide Egbeyemi.

Writing – initial draft: Inwang Edet Usoro, Robert O. Akhigbe, Michael Promise Ogolodom, Abdullahi Shuaibu, Emmanuel Emeka Ezugwu, Olunwayemisi Titi Oyegbata, Misael Ron, Oluwafemi Olumide Egbeyemi.

Editing – proofreading and editing: Inwang Edet Usoro, Robert O. Akhigbe, Michael Promise Ogolodom, Abdullahi Shuaibu, Emmanuel Emeka Ezugwu, Olunwayemisi Titi Oyegbata, Misael Ron, Oluwafemi Olumide Egbeyemi.

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL REFERENCES

1. Calorenni P, Bella G, Nicolò MS, Sciuto EL, Balli MV, Valenti G, et al. Advanced DNA–Gold Biointerface for PCR-Free Molecular Detection of *Leishmania infantum* 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1002/admi.202400642>.
2. Tran TAH, Vu VT, Huang C-J. Development of Functional Biointerface Using Mixed Zwitterionic Silatranes. *Langmuir* 2024;40:24516-27. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.4c03302>.
3. Flexible coatings based on hydrogel to enhance the biointerface of biomedical implants. *Adv Colloid Interface Sci* 2025;335:103358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2024.103358>.
4. Ullah A, Kim DY, Lim SI, Lim H-R. Hydrogel-Based Biointerfaces: Recent Advances, Challenges, and Future Directions in Human-Machine Integration. *Gels Basel Switz* 2025;11:232. <https://doi.org/10.3390/gels11040232>.
5. Li X, Huang X, Yang L, Jung S, Wang J, Zhao H. Implantable physical sensors for in vivo organ monitoring. *Med-X* 2025;3:1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44258-024-00047-x>.
6. Martínez DMV, Albóniga BS, Gonzalez CC, Carballea OL, Corrales JLF. Epidemiological characterisation of sedentary patients at the Hermanos Cruz Polyclinic. June 2021 – June 2023. *South Health Policy* 2023;2:70-70. <https://doi.org/10.56294/shp202370>.
7. Molina MA, Durán AG, García HG. Liquid vermicompost as a biostimulant in chili pepper nurseries: Morphophysiological evaluation and impact analysis. *Environ Res Ecotoxicity* 2024;3:112-112. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ere2024112>.
8. Molina MA, Durán AG, García HG. Liquid vermicompost as a biostimulant in chili pepper nurseries: Literature review and experimental justification. *Environ Res Ecotoxicity* 2024;3:113-113. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ere2024113>.
9. Quijandria MÁM. Improvement of municipal income and quality of life through solid waste characterisation in El Distrito, Villa El Salvador. *Environ Res Ecotoxicity* 2022;1:18-18. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ere202218>.
10. Hong Y, Lin Z, Yang Y, Jiang T, Shang J, Luo Z. Biocompatible Conductive Hydrogels: Applications in the Field of Biomedicine. *Int J Mol Sci* 2022;23:4578. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23094578>.
11. Landívar RC, Moreano ALC, Valle VMRD, Chávez-Arizala JF. Risk factors for high blood pressure in older adults of the Provincial Directorate of the MIES of Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas. *Multidiscip Montev* 2025;3:62-62. <https://doi.org/10.62486/agmu202562>.
12. Ramírez JL, Chica AMG, Intriago NSS, Arizala JFC. Chronic renal failure: Clinical and therapeutic aspects for the approach. *Multidiscip Montev* 2025;3:60-60. <https://doi.org/10.62486/agmu202560>.
13. Cano AMC. Medical Ethics in Terminal Patients: Dilemmas in the Use of AI for End-of-Life Decisions. *EthAIca* 2022;1:22-22. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ai202222>.
14. Badry R, Elhaes H, Ibrahim A, Refaat A, Ibrahim MA. Investigating the electronic properties and reactivity of polyaniline emeraldine base functionalized with metal oxides. *Sci Rep* 2024;14:27024. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-72435-7>.
15. Zhu L, Othman MSB, Yusuf LBM, Sun X. User Acceptance and Sustainable Adoption of University Management Information Systems: a Cross-cultural Study. *Salud Cienc Tecnol - Ser Conf* 2025;4:1239-1239. <https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf20251239>.
16. Ngatman N, Salimi M, Ulfiana U, Hidayah R, Wahyudi ABE, Wahyono W, et al. The Implementation of Problem-Based Learning with Multimedia for Improving Scientific Process Skills. *Salud Cienc Tecnol - Ser Conf* 2025;4:1247-1247. <https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf20251247>.
17. Mat NAC, Salleh SSMM. The effect of board of director diversity on company performance and the mediating role of director remuneration: Malaysia public companies' evidence. *Salud Cienc Tecnol - Ser Conf* 2025;4:1240-1240. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2025256>

sctconf20251240.

18. He X, Tan WH. Analysis of the Teaching Effectiveness of Virtual Reality Technology in Higher Education. *Salud Cienc Tecnol - Ser Conf* 2025;4:1274-1274. <https://doi.org/10.56294/sctconf20251274>.

19. G OAA, Rosales A, Silva FD. Application of simulation tools to HAZOP analysis of exothermic reaction processes. *eVidroKhem* 2024;3:102-102. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2024102>.

20. Kim S, Shin Y, Han J, Kim HJ, Sunwoo S-H. Introductory Review of Soft Implantable Bioelectronics Using Conductive and Functional Hydrogels and Hydrogel Nanocomposites. *Gels* 2024;10:614. <https://doi.org/10.3390/gels10100614>.

21. Wahed MA, Alqaraleh M, Alzboon MS, Al-Batah MS. AI Rx: Revolutionizing Healthcare Through Intelligence, Innovation, and Ethics. *Semin Med Writ Educ* 2025;4:35-35. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202535>.

22. Mukherjee S, Suleman S, Pilloton R, Narang J, Rani K. State of the Art in Smart Portable, Wearable, Ingestible and Implantable Devices for Health Status Monitoring and Disease Management. *Sensors* 2022;22:4228. <https://doi.org/10.3390/s22114228>.

23. Hernández LAR. The pedagogical role of training research seedbeds in the era of artificial intelligence and emerging technologies. *Semin Med Writ Educ* 2025;4:36-36. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202536>.

24. Guada L, Dirinó L, Álvarez J, Ron M, Hernández-Runque E. Strategies based on information and communication technologies (ICT), as a didactic tool for the development of the physiotherapy career. *Semin Med Writ Educ* 2025;4:34-34. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202534>.

25. Nguyen JVL, Ghafar-Zadeh E. Biointerface Materials for Cellular Adhesion: Recent Progress and Future Prospects. *Actuators* 2020;9:137. <https://doi.org/10.3390/act9040137>.

26. G OAA, Rosales A, Silva FD. Application of a Methodological Framework for the Development of a Hazop Study of a CSTR Reactor for the Production of Propylene Glycol from Propylene Oxide Using Process Simulation in Aspen Hysys. *eVidroKhem* 2024;3:101-101. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2024101>.

27. Llerandi JV, Peralta EM, González M del CF, Borrego AP. Environmental factors associated with cleft lip and palate in children treated at the William Soler Hospital. *Salud Cienc Tecnol* 2024;4:772-772. <https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2024772>.

28. Masó JRH, Ferrer RL. Level of satisfaction with the results of science and technology processes in the context of medical education. *Semin Med Writ Educ* 2025;4:38-38. <https://doi.org/10.56294/mw202538>.

29. Abhinav V, Basu P, Verma SS, Verma J, Das A, Kumari S, et al. Advancements in Wearable and Implantable BioMEMS Devices: Transforming Healthcare Through Technology. *Micromachines* 2025;16:522. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mi16050522>.

30. H M, Sharma A, B JN, Nayak GR, Ashok KS. Innovations in Healthcare Management That Foster Enhanced Patient Safety and Quality of Care. *Health Leadersh Qual Life* 2023;2:292-292. <https://doi.org/10.56294/hl2023292>.

31. Suárez-Ayala DV, Forero-Ronderos C, Coll M, Durán P, Céspedes C. Monitoreo Continuo de Glucosa. ¿Qué evidencia tenemos en pediatría? *Andes Pediatr* 2021;92:617-25. <https://doi.org/10.32641/andespediatr.v92i4.2973>.

32. Fernández N. Architectures of finitude: New biomaterial practices from an ecofeminist perspective. *SCT Proc Interdiscip Insights Innov* 2024;2:290-290. <https://doi.org/10.56294/piii2024290>.

33. Yildiz E. Retinal and neural stimulation with quantum dots based photovoltaic interfaces. 2021. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.10126827>.

34. Pera LYP, Ponte AS, García-Huamantumba E, Dávila LV, Castillo-Sáenz RA, Nizama JLR, et al. Use of mobile devices in the promotion of health and well-being in young university students. *Salud Cienc Tecnol* 2023;3:480-480. <https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023480>.

35. Ruiz-Sáez P, Velásquez-Oberreuter L, Zúñiga NT, Acevedo ML. Implementation of technological devices used by occupational therapists in upper extremity rehabilitation after a stroke. *Salud Cienc Tecnol* 2023;3:694-694. <https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2023694>.

36. Guayacundo WC, Mejía NL, García HFL, Rodríguez DTG. Improving cooling rate in a cold room by using a parametric study coupled with computational fluid dynamics. *eVtroKhem* 2025;4:153-153. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2025153>.
37. Breto GD, Alvarez YP, Rodríguez FAR. Portable Technologies in Clinical Biochemistry, from the laboratory to the point of care. *eVtroKhem* 2025;4:160-160. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2025160>.
38. Lekshmi GS, Prasad K, Alexander K, Kumaravel V. Innovative and sustainable approaches to NIR-active coatings for next-generation medical devices. *Chem Commun* 2025. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D5CC02164B>.
39. Ochoa GFT, Clavijo PEC, Tonato JLM, Guartatanga PGP, Vela MPM, Nuñez FIN, et al. Efficacy of wearable cardiac monitoring devices versus traditional methods in detecting atrial fibrillation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Salud Cienc Tecnol* 2024;4:962-962. <https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2024.962>.
40. García-Huamantumba E, García-Huamantumba CF, Velarde-Dávila L, Villavicencio-Guardia PG, Piñán-García JH, Pastrana-Díaz N del C, et al. Application of mobile reality in the training of health sciences students. *Salud Cienc Tecnol* 2024;4. <https://doi.org/10.56294/saludcyt2024645>.
41. Valbuena CNA. Tools for AI-driven Development of Research Competencies. *LatIA* 2023;1:16-16. <https://doi.org/10.62486/latia202316>.
42. Chen X, Zheng B, Zhou S, Shi C, Liang Y, Hu L. Development and Application of Intelligent Coating Technology: A Review. *Coatings* 2024;14. <https://doi.org/10.3390/coatings14050597>.
43. Canali S, Schiaffonati V, Aliverti A. Challenges and recommendations for wearable devices in digital health: Data quality, interoperability, health equity, fairness. *PLOS Digit Health* 2022;1:e0000104. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pdig.0000104>.
44. Vargas OLT, Buitrago MV. Color in images: a machine vision approach to the measurement of CIEL*a*b* coordinates in bovine loins. *LatIA* 2024;2:103-103. <https://doi.org/10.62486/latia2024103>.
45. Jiménez JRM, Severich RM, Viles EC, Martinez RAO. Design and Development of a Podiatric Station Prototype for the Diagnosis of Diabetic Foot. *eVtroKhem* 2025;4:165-165. <https://doi.org/10.56294/evk2025165>.
46. Zafar S, Nazir M, Bakhshi T, Khattak HA, Khan S, Bilal M, et al. A Systematic Review of Bio-Cyber Interface Technologies and Security Issues for Internet of Bio-Nano Things. *IEEE Access* 2021;9:93529-66. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3093442>.
47. Cano AMC. Medical Ethics in Terminal Patients: Dilemmas in the Use of AI for End-of-Life Decisions. *EthAIca* 2022;1:22-22. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ai202222>.
48. Gonzalez-Argote J, Maldonado E, Maldonado K. Algorithmic Bias and Data Justice: ethical challenges in Artificial Intelligence Systems. *EthAIca* 2025; 4:159-159. <https://doi.org/10.56294/ai2025159>.